

Valuing Ecosystem Services on Public Lands – A U. S. Forest Service Perspective

Public Land Acquisition and Management Partnership Conference Implementing Florida's Land Conservation Programs in the Next Decade December 3-5, 2008, Jacksonville, Florida

Presented by: Tony Tooke, Assistant Director, Forest Management, USFS, WO A special thanks to Sarah Hines, Beth Larry, Rich Birdsey, Mark Nechodom , and FMSC, USFS





Valuing Nature's Capital



What are ecosystem services?

The benefits **people** obtain from **ecosystems**...



ECOSYSTEM SERVICES



Source: Millennium Ecosystem Assessment





Global environmental services are over US\$ 33 trillion annually (Costanza, 2005)







CLIMATE CHANGE 2007 SYNTHESIS REPORT



"Climate change impacts on ecosystems will affect the services that ecosystems provide, such as cleaning water and removing carbon from the atmosphere (very likely)..."

SAP 4.3 executive summary





The Mission of the U.S. Forest Service

Sustaining the health, productivity, and diversity of the nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations.

Restoration and resilience

Stressors and drivers

Climate change adaptation

Ecosystem services







Forest Service Snapshot

- 1. Certainty to the marketplace.
- 2. Provisions for reliable and trusted information.
- 3. <u>Experiment and learn on the National Forests.</u>
- 4. Become market savvy.
- 5. Reduce our own environmental foot print.
- 6. Leadership in research.
- 7. Refresh our language.
- 8. Integration of ecosystem services into forest planning.
- 9. Foster dialogue and avoid jumping on bandwagons without thinking.
- 10. Learning.







Carbon Reporting

Using the Forest Vegetation Simulator



USDA Forest Service Forest Management Service Center Forest Vegetation Simulator Staff



- Converts biomass of all stand components to carbon
 - live/dead tree biomass (above and below ground)
 - surface biomass (ddw, forest floor, herbs and shrubs)
 - soil carbon is not calculated
- Carbon metrics
 - dry tons per acre (tC/ac)/dry metric tons per hectare (tC/ha)
- Carbon calculations
 - tC/ac of stand components = 0.5*biomass (Penman and others, 2003)
 - tC/ac of Forest floor = 0.37*tons/acre of forest floor biomass (Smith and Heath, 2002)



- Computes total Carbon within stand over time
- Computes total Carbon removed from the stand over time



removed

removed



How much carbon can a specific forest stand sequester?

- Objective: forestation of abused land in Southern U.S.
- Management Alternative
 - plant 400 loblolly pine per acre
 - grow for 50 years
- Look at total and annual growth* of tC/ac

*annual growth of tC can be sold on the Chicago Climate Exchange



Total and Annual Carbon Sequestration of Example Loblolly Pine Stand



Carbon Benefits of Fuels Treatments & Biomass Energy: The Alder Springs Project

Nicholas Martin, **Winrock International** Mark Nechodom, **USDA Forest Service – Pacific Southwest Research Station**



Quantify net GHG benefits











Objectives

- I. Quantify the net carbon benefits of fuels reduction and bioenergy
- 2. Classify lands for treatment based on range of costs and values including timber, carbon, biomass fuel, renewable energy incentives
- 3. Provide protocols to help USFS incorporate carbon values into project design, appraisal and contracting
- 4. Provide a framework for reporting and/or hypothetical sale of CO2 credits from fuel reduction on National Forests
- 5. Analyze impacts of fossil fuel prices, RPS targets and REC markets, and cellulosic biofuel development on economics of fuel treatments

Compare area burned, fire behavior and emissions in baseline vs. project scenarios





Wetlands Banking

Recent EPA and Army Corps of Engineers Rule

http://www.epa.gov/wetlandsmitigation/

Identifies methodologies for evaluating potential mitigation and restoration strategies Identifies potential environmental benefits (i.e., mitigation credits)

National Forest Demonstration Projects – will explore methods for calculating the benefits of wetlands banks or support refinement of wetlands banking protocols





Water Quality Trading

Market based approach to improve water quality

http://www.epa.gov/owow/watershed/trading.htm

Trading Programs – Allow facilities with high costs to meet obligations by purchasing pollution reductions from another source at a lower cost

National Forest Demonstration Projects – will explore methods for calculating the water quality benefit of different management techniques or explore development and/or refinement of water quality trading protocols





What US Forest Service Monitors and Reports: Carbon Pools in Forest Ecosystems

	Summarized estimates for default tables	Summarized estimates for reporting
Live trees: above-ground	Live trees	Ecosystem carbon
Live trees: below-ground		
Tree seedlings	Understory vegetation	
Shrubs, herbs, forbs, grasses		
Standing dead trees: above-ground	Standing dead trees	
Standing dead trees: below-ground		
Down dead wood	Down dead wood	
Stumps and dead roots		
Fine woody debris	Forest floor	
Litter		
Humus		
Soil carbon	Soil carbon	





What US Forest Service Monitors and Reports: Carbon Pools in Harvested Wood Products

- Products in use
 - (wood and paper; forest sector)
- Products in landfills
 - (wood and paper; forest sector)
- Emitted with capture
 - (concomitant energy capture; energy sector)
- Emitted without capture
 - (combustion or decay; forest sector)







US Forest Service Decision-Support for Forest Carbon Management





A Few Definitions...

- Offset v. A specific activity or set of activities that reduce, remove, or sequester GHG emissions from the atmosphere.
- Offset (carbon offset) n. A greenhouse gas (GHG) offset is generated by the reduction, avoidance, or sequestration of GHG emissions from a specific project. Offsets are so named because they counteract or offset greenhouse gases that are emitted into the atmosphere; they are a compensating equivalent for reductions made at a specific source of emissions. Examples of offsets would include forestry and agricultural activities that absorb carbon dioxide, and reductions achieved by entities that are not regulated by a greenhouse gas control program.
- **Credit (carbon credit) n.** Formally accredited offsets that can be traded in a regulatory or voluntary climate change program.
- **"Real, Measurable, Verifiable, Additional, "** Terms commonly used to confirm the validity and legitimacy of offsets. "Real" indicates that a reduction in GHG emission has taken place; "measurable" indicates that it can be quantified; "verifiable" indicates that it can be registered and tracked; and "additional" indicates that it represents a scenario/action that is above and beyond what would have typically happened in a "business as usual" scenario.





Issue 1: Permanence - Will the carbon stay out of the atmosphere?

- Potential solutions:
 - Assign liability, hedge risks, require continuous reporting
 - Temporary credits, long-term credit "leasing"
 - Discounting
- Verifying the existence of carbon stocks is easier over time
 - Cumulative aggregation of carbon is easier to detect than year-to-year fluctuations





Issue 2: Leakage – Will emissions be produced elsewhere as a result of projects?

- Potential solutions:
 - Discount credits from entities at higher risk of activity shifting leakage
 - Reporting requirements: certify that changes did not occur elsewhere
 - Exclude certain forest management activities
 - Accept leakage as a risk (adjust national goals)





Issue 3: Additionality - Would the emissions occur anyway?

- Potential solutions:
 - Limit entry (categorical exclusions)
 - Exclude forests (EU)
 - Exclude deforestation & forest management (several US registries)
 - Document justification
 - Reporting requirements (CCAR)
 - Barrier tests
 - Discount credits
 - Proportional additionality
 - Accept difficulty of establishing (adjust national goals)





- Issue 3.5: Baselines What are we measuring benefits against?
 - Options:
 - Historic
 - Base year/period carbon stocks
 - Base year/period carbon fluxes
 - The actions of others (comparable management on similar lands)
 - Expected
 - Projections of business-as-usual
 - Projections of expected improvements
 - Projections of expected average business practice





Measurement: Cost-Accuracy Tradeoffs

Less costly, less precise e.g. lookup tables More costly, more precise

e.g. on-site measurement



Estimates courtesy of Forecon; http://www.foreconinc.com/





Farm Bill: Facilitate market-based approaches for enhanced environmental benefits...

Sec 2709 - Authorizes USDA to create a Federal framework to establish private Ecosystem Services markets

- 1. Develop uniform standards
- 2. Foster market confidence and validity
- 3. Strengthen and promote investments in markets

